ED 403 578 CS 215 702

AUTHOR Bashford, Bruce

TITLE Pedagogy and Intellectual Work.

PUB DATE 28 Mar 96

NOTE 5p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

Conference on College Composition and Communication

(47th, Milwaukee, WI, March 27-30, 1996).

PUB TYPE Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Viewpoints

(Opinion/Position Papers, Essays, etc.) (120) --

Reports - Descriptive (141)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS College English; \*English Departments; \*English

Instruction; \*Feedback; Graduate Students; Higher Education; \*Instructional Improvement; Mentors; Program Development; \*Teaching Assistants; \*Teaching

Skills

IDENTIFIERS \*State University of New York Stony Brook

#### **ABSTRACT**

Five universities in New York State (SUNY--State University of New York at Stony Brook, Syracuse, Cornell, Binghamton, and New York Universities--are involved in a 3-year project to better prepare graduate students to become teachers. The State University of New York, Stony Brook, English Department is providing graduate teaching assistants with intensive faculty mentoring. Though much time and energy have gone into providing these students with critical feedback about their efforts to become scholars and researchers, too little time is spent providing "criticism" toward their efforts to become good teachers. Ways must be found to provide this feedback and to comment critically on pedagogy. Teaching in close collaboration with colleagues, using largely the same materials and assignments, and meeting regularly, especially to discuss student writing, may help achieve this goal. (CR)



<sup>\*</sup> Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-ment do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES

INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL

HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Bruce Bashford Assistant Professor of English

4 C's Presentation March 28, 1996 Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Pedagogy and Intellectual Work

Introductory Note:

The context for this presentation is SUNY at Stony Brook's participation in the Preparing Future Professors Project, supported by a grant from the Fund for the Improvement of Post Secondary Education (FIPSE). Syracuse University is administering the grant. Five universities in New York State are involved: in addition to Syracuse and Stony Brook, New York University, Binghampton University, and Cornell University. The purpose of the project is to prepare graduate students better for the transition to being college and university faculty. The project will run for three years, focusing each year on a different discipline. The first year, academic year '94-'95, focused on Political Science, lasy year on Rhetoric and Composition, and in the '96-'97 year the focus will be on Mathematics. I directed the English Department's participating during the Rhetoric and Composition year. My remarks concern a major emphasis within the larger purpose of the project, namely preparing our graduate students better as teachers.

State of the Project

This year we're providing our graduate Teaching Assistants with more intensive faculty mentoring than we have in the past. I believe our year is going well, but to keep my remarks brief, I'm going to emphasize a disquieting



realization I've had, and that is how much of our time and energy as a faculty goes into providing our graduate students with critical feedback about their efforts to become scholars and researchers and how little into criticizing their efforts to become good teachers. By "criticism" I mean the kind of feedback that seems to be appropriate to learning any practical activity, feedback that comments on how well one's means are suited to one's ends—what faculty do when they write on a seminar paper, "if you want to prove this, you'll need more evidencs or a different kind of argument."

Unless we can find ways of providing this critical feedback about teaching, we're unlikely to achieve one of our main goals: to have the training of future college teachers recognized as part of the department's intellectual work. We're unlikely to do so because for English Departments, the terms "intellectual" and "critical" are virtually synonyms.

Right now in my department we seem to have no tradition of commenting critically on pedagogy. That means that the same student who expects criticism in a seminar—who may seek out criticism while preparing a paper—doesn't expect it as a TA. In fact, he or she may regard such criticism as out of bounds, dismissing it as an intrusion on his or her personal "style" in the classroom. Having no such tradition also means that the faculy has no familiar register in which to express it—as they do when responding to the seminar paper. Looking over my department this year, I've seen TA's upset by criticism to a degree that puzzles the faculty, and I've also seen faculty struggling to find a way to formulate this feedback—a struggle that the TA's may not understand.



### Precedents in the English Department

Are there any useful precedents in English Departments for this kind of criticism? My experience as a beginning teachers suggests one: teaching in close collaboration with colleagues, using largely the same materials and assignments, and meeting weekly, especially to discuss student writing. In that setting, criticism loses some of its sting because it comes in the context of an effort toward a common end and more important, because it's couched in the particulars of the materials at hand. Thus, being told that a writing assignment one has proposed won't work because it asks a question the text won't answer isn't taken as an attack on one's personal style, but rather as a judgment that the group can reason about. It's because this reasoning is possible that criticism of pedagogy is possible.

### Mentoring

I've said our year has gone better then my emphasis would suggest, and one reason it has is that we began our mentoring by having TA's observe the faculty mentors' classes before the faculty observed the TA's. In several cases, the TA's have visited the mentors' classes again later in the semester, and the pairs have continued to exchange assignments and student papers they've commented on. In these cases, TA and faculty member are teaching in a parallel way, as it were, and, while this may not be quite as good a situation for our purposes as involving them in the very same course, it has allowed these persons to reason in common and



concretely about the decisions they make as teachers. From this may emerge a critical language adequate to reflecting on these decisions, and, if that happens, we'll have taken a significant step toward having pedagogy recognized as intellectual work.

Bruce Bashford SUNY at Stony Brook Stony Brook, NY 11794-5350



Would you like to put your paper in ERIC? Please send us a clean, dark copy!



### U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDE	NTIFICATION:		
Title: Paper presente	ed at the 1996 Annual 4C's	Convention (Milwaukee)	•
Pet	angogy & Inteller	funl Work.	المعادية الم
Author(\$): Br	uce Bashford		
Corporate Source:			Publication Date:
			March 27-30, 1996
II. REPRODUCTIO	N RELEASE:		
in the monthly abstract journ paper copy, and electronic/o	as widely as possible timely and significant of the ERIC system, Resources in Educal optical media, and sold through the ERIC Deduction release is graduction release is graduction.	tion (RIE), are usually made available to ocument Reproduction Service (EDRS)	o users in microfiche, reproduced or other ERIC vendors. Credit is
If permission is granted the bottom of the page.	to reproduce and disseminate the identified	I document, please CHECK ONE of the	following two options and sign at
	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below watfixed to all Level 2 documents	
	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE A DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAP COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED B	ER 1
Check here For Level 1 Release: Permitting reproduction in	sample	sample	For Level 2 Release: Permitting reproduction in
microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCE INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC	00.00 2.00 4.000
	Level 1	Level 2	

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

	this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC employees and its system contractors requires perm	enter (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than nission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit sfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."
Sign here→ please	Signature: Bruce Barbero	Bruce Bashford, Assistant Prifessor
picase	Organization/Address: English Department	Telephone: FAX: C516) 632 7382, 7400
ERIC II Text Provided by ERIC	SUNY At Stowy Brook Stowy Brook Stowy Brook N. 11794-5350	E-Mail Address: Date: h hashford @ CC Mail. sunysb. 8/9/96

lovari

## III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:				
Address:			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••
Price:	······································		·	724956 0007 a 3 52 000 <del>00</del> 000.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u>.                                      </u>	<del> </del>	
	RIC TO COPYRIGHT/RI			
IV. REFERRAL OF EF  If the right to grant reproduction rele  Name:				
If the right to grant reproduction rele				
If the right to grant reproduction relea				
If the right to grant reproduction relea				

## V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

aguilitims

ERIC/REC

2805 E. Tenth Street Smith Research Center, 150

Indiana University Bloomington, IN 47408

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility

1301 Piccard Drive, Suite 100

Rockville, Maryland 20859-4305

Telephone: 301-258-5500
FAX: 301-948-3695Toll Free: 800-799-3742
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

